



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,636	10/19/2005	Wolfgang Flatow	052003-0014	6637

1923 7590 01/15/2009  
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY LLP  
Attn: IP Department  
227 WEST MONROE STREET  
SUITE 4400  
CHICAGO, IL 60606-5096

EXAMINER
----------

HWA, SHYUE JIUNN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2163

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,636	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FLATOW, WOLFGANG	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES HWA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2163	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES HWA. (3) Attorney Monique A. Morneault.

(2) Primary Examiner Cam Y Truong. (4) Applicant' counsel from Australia.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 7,031,956 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that Lee does not teaches "a database management schema comprising; a first table to store the names of various entity types; a second table related to the first table to store the names of entities of the various entity types; a third table related to the first table to store the names of fields in respect of the various entity types" in claim 1. Examiner pointed Lee taught the above limitations in figs. 9&23. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cam Y Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169	
---	--